App. F. IX-4

1932-30
(L B.)

File No. 109-29

Serial Nos. 1/2, 14 & 15

Previous References
Med 1-88 Sls 1-3
Mil 109-31 Sls 11, 13 2-33
L B. 153-28-29.

Later References
L B 87-31 Sls 166.
L B 66 de-32 Sls 2 & 5, 6 & 7.
Med 216-32 Sls 6-9, 11, 16.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. ~~15~~ 14

Part B for Oct 1932

SUBJECT.

Payment of medical contribution by certain
V Pts & minor Mil Councils in the Punjab

Sl 15

To the Dy Comr Jhang dtd
L 2806 dy 19-10-32
States that the Mil Cls may be asked to
make provision in their budgets from 1932-33
for the normal contribution towards medical relief

19-2-33

2166

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | KB File No. 109 of 1929.

Serial No. 1

Letter no. G.C. 249/29-30 dy 28-10-29 from the pdt, WDB, Tumkur stating that certain minor mal cls and village panchayets have not been paying any contribution towards the maintenance charges of L.F. dispensaries located in them and that the entire cost is being borne by the WDB and requesting that the local bodies concerned may be directed to pay their quota of contribution from the current year.

The establishment charges of the Local Fund Dispensaries at the following places is being borne ~~by~~ in full by the District Board of Tumkur.

1. Pavagada.
2. Kortagere.
3. Turuvekere.
4. Huliyur.
5. Huliyurdurga.
6. Nonavinakere.

(1 to 3: Municipal towns; 4 to 6: Village panchayets)

The District Board request that the Municipal Council at the first 3 places and the Village Panchayets of the last 3 places may be directed to contribute from the current year one-third of the charges of the maintenance of the Dispensaries.

2. Under the Village Panchayet Rules, it is not obligatory on the part of Panchayets to contribute anything towards the maintenance of Local Fund Dispensaries in their jurisdiction. The fact that Village Panchayets in places where Local Fund Dispensaries were established recently have agreed to pay a portion of the cost of their maintenance could not be taken as a

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 666—GPB—30.000—26-7-29

Serial No.

pleas for requiring Panchayets in places where there are already Dispensaries to contribute for their maintenance.

3. As regards Dispensaries at Pavagada, Kortagere and Turuvekere, no information is ~~available as~~ traceable as to whether the District Board consented to meet the entire maintenance charges at the time of the establishment of the Dispensaries or whether the District Board was directed to meet the entire charges in view of the low finances of the Municipal Councils. The question for consideration is whether these Municipal Councils may not now at least be required to pay their usual quota (one-third) of the maintenance charges. Under Section 54(6) of the Municipal Regulation, it is an obligatory duty of the Municipal Councils to establish and maintain public hospitals and dispensaries and provide medical relief. Information regarding the financial condition of these Municipalities is not available and even though their finances are not satisfactory they have to improve them and pay their quota.

4. The Medical Section may be consulted.

Secn 18/11

Govt Secy 21/11/29

a) Please ascertain from the Medl Section whether there are any papers available about the opening of these dispensaries

21/11/29

G. Narasimha Murthy 21/11/29

b) Sd. The file relating to the opening of a Dispensary at Kortagere (Med 1-88 to 1-3) got from the Records on 18/12/29 is put up.

The files relating to the opening of Dispensaries

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 666—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

(544A)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 2116 of 192 | App. F. III—21

Serial No.

at Pavagada & Thuruvekere are not traceable in the Records inspite of their best efforts. (Vide remarks in the routine note by the General Records)

If approved the file will be returned to the L.S.G. Branch with this information.

19/12 7) The S.S may be addressed re the original orders about the dispensaries at Pavagada & Thuruvekere. The question of Govt interference will arise only after the minor Municipal Councils decline to accede to the demands of the D.H.S.

L.S.G with compts

R.D.
19.12.29.

8) From g.o.no 5594-8/cir 1369 17/18-10-89 it is seen that Kortagere & Bagur exempted from contribution. The original papers in the case of Pavagada and Thuruvekere are stated to be not traceable.

Seen with ref to the marginal note of the Asst Secy on page 2

19/12/29 9) Please address the Dy Cmsr & furnish copies of orders re opg of dispensaries at Pavagada & Thuruvekere & the municipal Councils under which they the Govt have not been paying hitherto any grant.

Note—Contn. Sheet

GR

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

19/12

L 2166

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 109 of 1929

Serial No.	
10	Submitted with a draft for approval 20.12.29 (1)
2	Letter - no. 4841 of 27.12.29. to the Senior Surgeon with the Govt of Assam Requests him to furnish information required in Para 9 supra
3	Letter no Dis C 483 Dy 13-1-30 Senior Surgeon. Forwards copies of the Govt orders sanctioning the opening of the Dispensaries at Pareagada & Tumukuru, the entire cost being borne by the D.L.B. 11. The Tumkur D.L.B. wanted contributions to be paid to them for L.F. Dispensaries by — 1 Pareagada Union Muzrai 2. Koratagere " 3. Tumukuru " 4. Huliyur. Village Panchayet 5. Huliyurdurga " 6. Nonavinakere " 12) Under G.O no 5594-8 Dy ... of the G.O.s now sanctions the S. Superintendent the Koratagere Taluk has been exempted from paying Contributions for the Dispensaries, ... Union Muzrai ... the Village

M.

all

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

GEN. & REV. - 9 JAN. 1930 L. S. & G. BRANCH

3677

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | L.B File No. W 9 of 192 9.

Serial No.

Sent with ref to paras 3 and 5

AS
18/1/30

1) The Dumka District Complaint that the Municipalities of Sarnagla, Jamtara & Kathiyar & Village Panchayats of Sultiyan, Sahibganj & Rajmahal are not contributing to L.F. Dispensaries in those places. & they may be asked to give their due contribution.

2) Under the V.P. Regulation, the Panchayats are not required to contribute to this. It is for this Govt to decide with them to do. They may be asked if they can contribute anything now. If new finances will permit this being done.

3) As regards the 3 Wards referred to above, they have been exempted from payment under ~~Act~~ of 1875 and 1885. W 275-28 of 4/3/85 & No. 5554-8/136 of 18-10-85. Under the Rural Regn they can contribute towards dispensaries. They may be asked now if they can contribute the proportion due by them.

R Vand M.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
22 JAN. 1930
No. 1735
Note—Contn. Sheet

CHIEF SECRETARY.
25 JAN. 1930

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

Sec Secy 3 — RG 20/1/30
Ch Secy 3 — Sec(H)o.

H) Secy 31/1

H) as agst 45 31/1/30

Serial No.

17) Draft put up

3.2.30 4/2/30

18) Done 5/2

4 Dc Jemkem lr. L.5785 dy 11.2.30. forwards copy of above & enquires if the rul cs & Pan chayet are willing to contribute for the dispensary

Sent it. 11/2

5 Letter no. A3-2157/29-30 dy 4.8-30 fm the DC, under forwdng the resolutn pssd at agm held & Kuliyan V.P pleading his inability to contribute towards the maintenance charges.

6 Letter no. A3-2157/29-30 dy 29-10-30 fm the Asst. Dep. Com, under submitting the resolutn pssd at Paragada & Turumella and also similarly pleading his inability.

19) The DC states that the resolutns pssd at village panchayets of Kuliyan dinna & Veravinthe regarding the contg maintenance of the local dispensaries will be forwarded soon after receipt. His final reply will be awaited.

Sent it 10/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

Asst 6/11/30.

20) Yes 7.11.30

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | KB File No. 109 of 1929.

Serial No.	
7	Letter no. L. dis 4/3 & 2157-29-30 dt 17-11-30 from the Dep. Com, Tumkur reporting that the finances of the Panchayats of Nonvinkere and Hulijurdurga do not permit of any contribution being made towards the maintenance of the dispensaries located within their jurisdiction. 2) The Tumkur authorities complain that the supplies of Pavagada, Turuvekere and Koratagere as well as the village panchayats of Hulikyar, Hulijurdurga and Nonvinkere are not contributing their quota towards the L.F. dispensaries in these places. 2a) under the V. P. Regn, the panchayats are not required to make this contribution it is quite optional with them to do so. They were asked if they can contribute anything. But it has been reported that the finances of these panchayats do not permit of any such contributions being made. 3) As regards the 3 mal cls referred to above, they have been exempted from payment under G.O. no. 279-82 dt 4-3-85 and no. 5594-8/136 dt 18-10-89 in view of their low finances. These mal cls were asked whether they can not now pay one-third of the cost of maintenance of the dispensaries in their areas. All of them have sent up resolutions

May M & V

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

(629)

3586

358

General and Revenue Secretariat

XV File No. 1 109 of 1929

Pleading their inability to pay the contribution in question.

~~Under these circumstances~~ As neither the Mil Co nor the Municipalities are already to make any contribution it appears that the Bd alone have to bear the entire maintenance cost of the dispensaries at the six places referred to above.

Submitted for orders

Nimn 25.11.30

for what is the practice in the other Districts?

26.11.30

26.

Information is not readily available in the Branch. Medical Section may be consulted

Nimn 27.11.30 Med Section (with Compln)

29.11.30

GENERAL MISCELLANEOUS BRANCH 3586 29 NOV. 1930

27

Subd. The following practice is in vogue

1. pay of the Subassist Surgeon & the cost of Emetic medicine. The latter be met by Govt

2. The rest of the cost of recurring charges to be met by local bodies - normally 2/3 by the Dist Board & 1/3 by the Municipal Council

D.S.P. & M 4/12

W. 12.30 W2

28.

The municipalities of Pavagada, Turuvakere and

(Vide G.O.s

1. G.O. no. L. 9691-711/ dated 12-9-21-19 & 18.6.24

2. G.O. no. G. 2236-53/ dated 49-25-96 & 26.8.27

3. G.O. no. G. 10693-711/ dated 24-27-15 & 19.5.28.)

Serial No.

Koratagere cannot shirk off their responsibilities. in this matter and should be distinctly informed that they have to share a 1/3rd of the expenditure like all other Municipalities.

29. It does not appear to be fair to expect Dt. Boards to bear the entire maintenance charges of the dispensaries at (some of) the Village Panchayets ~~when these are not under the control of the Dt Board~~. They should also be made to contribute a portion of the expenditure of such dispensaries in their respective places.

For orders

1st Member)

5.12.30

30. Please examine the mun'l budgets of the places referred to - see if they cannot find funds for this cost.

31. Re V.P. it is not desirable to ask for contn. for dispensaries established long ago. For new dispensaries the matter should be settled before opening -

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 8 DEC. 1930 No. 5403

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

(57)

2558 5268

File No. 109 of 1929

General and Revenue Secretariat

32 The Budget estimates ... 443

... Issue

15
12.6.30

... 8

... 9

... 4

34 ... V. P. ... para 22 & 31 supra

35 ... para 30 ...

36 ... reply ...

37 Issue

9.6.31

8665 3049/2

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 109 of 1929.

443

Serial No.	
10	Dresd D.B. Tumkur 29986 Dy 17.6.31 States that the matter is under consideration. Memo no 9987 Dy 17.6.31 - D.C. Tumkur 78 Copy & Calls for Copies of the Budgets of the municipalities called for cir dd 8.
	Sec A 18/6 AS
11	Letter no. L.F.2 Ac 74/30-31 dy 12.1.32. From the Prdt: Dt. Bd. Requests early orders & Reminds - 38 Copies of Budget estimates of the 3 municipalities have been called for and the D.C. is being reminded to expedite their submission and the latest reminder was issued today. 39 may the DC be reminded after a fortnight? Subd.
	Gp 28/1 40 Please put up a reply to the Presidt AS 24/1132
	41 Subd with a draft.
	Gs 30.1.32 AS 42. Issue Ap 2/2
12	To The President. Dt: Bd - Tumkur. No: 5507 dated 6.2.32 Informing that the matter is under consideration & that orders will issue as soon as some information is called from the D.C: Tumkur.
	Memo No: 5508 dy 6.2.32. to the DC. Tumkur with a request to expedite the Enquiry

3 FEB. 1932 GENL. & REV SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02653—G PB—50,000—8-7-30

Secy A AS

Payment of Contribution by Municipal councils and Village Panchayats 3049/45/2 towards L.F. Dispensaries

A.B File No. 109 of 1929

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No C. Pe. 235/31-32 dated the 24th/27th June 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, forwarding the Budget Estimates of the Pavagada, Koratagere and Tumkur Municipal Councils for 1930-31 and 1931-32 and stating that the estimates for 1932-33 are being reviewed and will be forwarded shortly.

3) The financial position of the three Municipal Councils are as follows

	Normal Income for 1931-32.	Normal Expenditure for 1931-32	Closing Balance for 1931-32	
Pavagada.	Rs 3568	Rs 3006	Rs 830	P
Tumkur	Rs 5890	3788	Rs 2612	O
Koratagere	Rs 2955	Rs 3009	Rs 2627	N

(The Budget figures for 1932/33 are not yet received & it is for orders if their receipt may be awaited)

4) Submitted with reference to paras 30 and 31. It is for orders of the receipt of the sanctioned Budget estimates of the above Municipal Councils for 1932-33 may be awaited to ~~find out their capacity~~ see if they cannot find funds for paying the contribution towards L.F. dispensaries in their localities.

5/7

304 9 f 45/2

Serial No.

45)

The normal income in the case of Kovalagam shows that the normal expenditure. In the case of Paraganda and Irumbikkara the normal income leaves the normal expenditure by Rs 562 and Rs 2,102 respectively. The usual contribution of the medical charges in the case of the latter two unions amounts to about Rs 1,450 and Rs 600 respectively as per particulars furnished in the A. F. Budget for 1931-32. The Irumbikkara union is also a flourishing one and may be called upon to bear its legitimate share. The Paraganda union is also making

A

progress but is hard up and will have no means of carrying out the scheme if it is asked to bear its legitimate portion of the minimum charges. The finances of the Kovalagam union do not admit of the medical charges being borne by the union.

46) Seen with reference to para 3.0.

A) 5/2132

Dep B

47) Please put up the plan A. (Agreement for Rs 500 has just been sanctioned for the water supply to the town through Bachelor)

48) Please also furnish me a note showing the amounts paid by the medical contribution by Village Panchayats

9/7

O. Note 113 on file and to be filed with 1417.

Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Payment of contribution by the villages towards the L.F. dispensaries

File No.	109	of 1929	General and Revenue Secretariat

49) The Parvada water supply bill has been referred to II member today in another connection. A

Ref. G.O. No. L: 6347-63/V.P. 47-31-3 dt 16.3.32 re medical contribution by village panchayats is put up for reference.

14.7.

50) A Memo has been received from the Member today. It may be perused

16/7

51) The file 607-31-33 relating to Parvada water supply is enclosed.

16.7.1917

52) Before passing orders in the case I think it would be better to refer to the Budget of the Municipal Council for the current year & find out their present financial position.

53) In para 2 of his letter dt. 27.6.1933 the DC Tumkur stated that the Budget Estimate for 1932-33 would be forwarded shortly. He may be requested to send the estimate approved very early.

27/7

48. From the D.C. Tumkur Dt. 14

No Chary 35/31-2 Dt 29.7.32

Forwards copies of sanctioned budgets of the Parvada, Kortagere & Tumkur water works for 32-31 with his service letter.

[5]

Payment of medical Contribution by certain Village Panchayats & minor mcls in the Dumbar Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat | L 2 | File No. 109 of 1929. | [App. F. III—2

153

Serial No.

5) The financial position of the mcls during the year 1932-33 is noted below

	mcl	Normal income Rs	Normal exp^re Rs	Closing balance Rs
1)	Kortagere	2,955-	2,895-	2,957
2)	Pavagada	3,300	3,215-	639
3)	Turuvekere	5,550	3,979	3,158

Subd for orders (paras 30-31).

N.B. 5) This reference is similar to para 4 above.

6/8/8

55) The Tumkur Dt Bd made a reference in October 1929 stating that the Muni Councils of Pavagada, Koratagere and Turuvekere and the Village Panchayets of Huliyar, Huliyurdurga and Maddagere were not paying any contribution towards the maintenance charges of the L.F. dispensaries at those places and requesting that they maybe directed to pay their quota viz, one third from that year.

56) It was found that under the Govt orders sanctioning the Dispensaries at the three Municipal towns the Municipal Councils had been exempted from making any contribution towards their maintenance on account of their low finances.

57) The Dy Com^r, Tumkur having been asked to consult the said Municipal Councils and Village Panchayets in the matter, he has reported that all of them have pleaded their inability to make any contribution owing to their slender resources.

58) Under Sec 21 of the Village Panchayet Reg^n provision of medical relief is only a Discretionary duty of the Panchayets, and G.O. no L-6347-63-V.B.-47-31 3 dt 16th.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

laye down that it is not therefore justifiable to compulsorily impose on Village Panchayets a quota of the expenditure on medical relief in rural part by District Boards. So, the Village Panchayets in question cannot be compelled to make a Contribution as desired by the Dindigul D.B.

59) As regards the three Municipal Councils, their budgets for the years 1930-31 to 1932-33 have been examined and the following figures show their financial conditions:-

	Normal income	Normal Expenditure	Closing Balance
Kodaikanal.			
1930-31	Rs. 3840	Rs. 3480	Rs. 3386
1931-32	" 2955	" 3009	" 2627
1932-33	" 2955	" 2895	" 2957
Palayam.			
1930-31	Rs. 4329	Rs. 3470	Rs. 14714
1931-32	" 3568	" 3006	" 830
1932-33	" 3300	" 3245	" 639
Dindigul.			
1930-31	Rs. 4940	Rs. 5807	Rs. 1283
1931-32	" 5890	" 3788	" 2612
1932-33	" 5350	" 3979	" 3158

60) The Contributions chargeable to these Municipal Councils on account of medical relief are as follow:-

Kodaikanal	Rs. 457
Palayam	" 471
Dindigul	" 462

61) The finances of the Dindigul M.C. are in good condition and there seems to be no doubt of its ability to pay the Medical Contribution. In regard to the M.Cs. of Kodaikanal and Palayam there is not much margin between their normal income & normal expr and their power finally to pay their quota has perhaps to be accepted.

Submitted

L.S.P.

Please see letter from D.C. Dindigul to the B.P. dy

Noted MB

Contribution for medical relief, levy certain
1622/32 p mcls & v. p's in Jessore Dt—

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat 2B File No. 109 of 1932

GENERAL SECR 3 OCT 1932 No.

Serial No. 2

(b) Submitted for orders.

Sec'y) 28/8

II Member) 31/8

(c) Please note the section of the Municipal Regn under which Govt can order the Mcls to meet these charges

29/8 29/8

attached to MB

31 AUG 1932 No. 1691

(d)

Under sec 54(c) of the Mc Regn, the estt & maintenance of public hospitals and dispensaries & the provision of public medical relief is an obligatory duty of a Mc cl, and if the Mc cl makes default in the performance of the duty imposed on it by the Regn, Govt may, under sec 178 of the Regn, provide for the performance of that duty & recover the expe so incurred from the Mc cl.

MB 10/9 AS

Sec'y) 12/9

(e) For favour of opinion if an order of the kind in question can be passed under the provision referred to.

Asst Secy, Law Secy, WW Camps) 16/9

GENERAL SECRETARY 1 SEP 1932 No.

108 Law

(f)

The Municipality is bound to make reasonable

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Contribution for medical relief by Certain local bodies in Tumkur Dt

LB File No. 109 569 of 1929 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Provision for public medical relief under sec 54(o) of the DC Regn. The D.C. can under sec 173(1)(c) ~~require~~ advise the M' Council to contribute ~~their~~ its quota towards the cost of maintaining the dispensaries in question and if the Municipality is recalcitrant, he can take action under Sec 175.

(67) In case of default by the M' council to perform a duty imposed by the Regn it is also open to Govt to take steps under sec 178.

Asst Secy Leg with comts } DMG 26/9

(68) Subd vide reply to para 63 Supra

II Member) DMG 27/9

(69) I think the M' C's make asked to make provn in their notes from 1932-33 to the ~~usual~~ contribution towards medical relief DC make suitable amendments

by 28/9

28 6/9/29 DMG 30/9

(70)

2 drafts subd, MBS 10/10

(71) One draft to the DC under is enough Re V.P's addn is made in the memo to the DC. The draft to the DC as added to may come DMG 14/11

RECEIVED BEFORE MEMBER 26 SEP. 1932 No. 2576

TYPING SECTION 15. OCT. 1932 & REV. SECTT.

Contribution of medical relief by certain Local Bodies in Tumkur Dist.

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App. F. III—2

LB File No. 109 of 1929.

Serial No.	
15	Letter no 2806 dt 19.x.32 to the Dt. Tumkur directing that the mul. cls may be instructed to provide for the usual Contribution towards medical relief in their Budgets for 1932-33.
	~~Letter~~ no 2807 dt 17/19.x.32 Copy to pdt Dist BD Tumkur enclosing attention to Lr No L.6347-631 V.P. 47-31-3 dt 16.3.32 Re: V.P Contributions.

B
19.X.32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Office of the President, District Board,

Tumkur District,

Dated, Tumkur, the 28th October 1929.

Ge. 249 / 28-30 / encl

Leg

From

G. B. Neblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

President, District Board, Tumkur District,

Tumkur.

Hr. 6/11 MD

Mr. K.R

AS 7/11 (29 To

KB 109-29-1

GEN & REV SECTT 2166 7 NOV. 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

B. Narainswamiengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

General Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the marginally noted minor Municipalities Councils and the Panchayets have not been paying any contribution towards the maintenance charges of Local Fund Dispensaries -- located in them and the entire cost is being borne by the District Board. With a view to augment their resources, the District Board considered the question of recovering the required quota from the -- said local bodies at their meeting held on 28-9-29 and they have resolved that the Municipalities and Panchayets will be asked to bear their quota from the current year. A copy of the resolution of the Board is enclosed for perusal.

Minor Municipalities.	
Pavagada	427
Koratagere	382
Turuvekere	416
Village Panchayets	
Huliyar	362
Huliyurdurga	396
Honavinakere	356

I might add that the Village Panchayets wherein dispensaries have been newly opened, have been paying their quota towards the cost of maintenance charges of Local Fund Dispensaries and it is but fair that the said local bodies referred above should be required to pay the required quota. I request therefore that the local bodies -- mentioned above may kindly be directed to pay their quota of contribution from the current year.

Head Asst to draft for approval or orders. 9/11.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
H. Venkatarao
for President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

BM

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board, Tumkur, held in the Deputy Commissioner's Office on Saturday the 28th September 1929 at 12 noon.

Subject No. 13.

Proposal to recover contribution from the minor Municipal Councils (Pavagada, Koratagere and Turuvekere) and Village Panchayets (Huliyar, Huliyurdurga and Honavinakere) on account of Local Fund Dispensaries located in them.

Resolution:- The Municipalities and Panchayets will be asked to bear their quota from the current year.

" E x t r a c t "

H. Venkatarama

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section B

File No. 109 - 29

Serial No. 2

No. L. 4521

Diary No. of letter replied to..... L 2166

General and Revenue Secretariat

(B.)

the 192

To

The Senior Surgeon with the Govt of Mysore Bangalore

Date of issue..... 21/12/29

Signed by..... W. J. K.

Sir.

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter no Gc 249/29-30 dated 28th Oct 1929 from the Secretary Dist Kanara stating that certain Taluks and Village Panchayets have not been paying any contribution towards the Maintenance charges of the L. F. Dispensaries located in the places and to request you to kindly furnish copies of the

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... G S

Examined by..... 22-12-29

orders regarding the Establishment
of dispensaries at Lavagadatt Hirwekere ; ~~[illegible]~~
I am also to enquire why
the Municipal Councils have not
been paying any quota
thereto

I have etc

W/1V

For General Secy to Govt

AS

8/3
25.7.29

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

L. B. 109-29-3

In replying please quote
No. ...Dis.C.4 8[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated the 13-1-1930.

Enc. 1

GEN. SECT. 3677 15 JAN. 1930

Telegrams: SENORGEN. *Telephone*: No. 27

FROM L. S. G. BRANCH

S. SUBBA RAO, ESQ., B.A., M.B.C.M., M.R.C.S., (Eng.) L.R.C.P., D.P.H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No. L. 4841/L.B. 109-29-2, dated 27th December 1929, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of Government Order No. Camp-279-82 and 5625-8/72 dated 4th March 1885 and 18th October 1889 respectively sanctioning the opening of the dispensaries at Pavagada and Turuvekere, the entire cost being met from the District Funds.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Subba Rao
Senior Surgeon.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:

Letter to the Senior Surgeon dated 27th July 1889 No. 843 requesting sanc ion to the establishment of a local fund dispensary at Turuvekere.

Order thereon No. 5625/22 dated Bangalore 18th October 1889.

The proposed establishment of a local fund dispensary at Turuvekere is sanctioned. The entire charge on account of its menial establishment contingencies etc., will be met from the current year's District Fund Budget of the District in which the necessary provision has been made by the local fund Board of the Tumkur District and approved of in this office Proceedings dated 10th June 1889 No. 1877-88/44 . The Municipality need not bear any portion of the charge as its funds are inadequate for ordinary Municipal purposes.

sd. Under Secretary.

2.

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.-

Read:-

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, No. 723 dated 21st February 1885 submitting proposals for opening Dispensaries at Pavagada and Tiptur.

Order thereon Camp. No. 279-82, Mysore 4th March 1885.

Under the circumstances represented in the above letter the proposed establishment of Local Fund Dispens ries at Pavagada and Tiptur is sanctioned. The necessary cost of this account in accordance with the fixed scale will be met entirely from District Funds in the case of Pavagada and from the District and Municipal Funds in the proportion of 2/3 and 1/3 respectively in the case of Tiptur where there is a Municipality. Provision as noted in the margin will accordingly be added to the

ensuing year's district fund budget of the Tumkur Dirtict sanctioned in the Dewan's Proceedings No. 5874-85/124 dated 24th January 1885.

2. The Dispensaries referred to will be temporarily located in the places which the Deputy Commissioner proposes to find for them ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ pending the erection of suitable permanent buildings hereafter.

3. The Senior Surgeon is requested to make the necessary arrange ments to open the dispensaries from 1st April next.

-----sd.

Secretary.

"Copies."

S. Subbarao

Senior Surgeon.

L.5985/Encl.one dated 11-2-1930

LB 109-29-4

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

S i r,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No.G.C.249 29-30 dated 29th October 1929 from the President, District Board, Tumkur requesting that the local bodies referred to in the letter may be asked to contribute towards the maintenance of the Local Fund Dispensaries located in their jurisdiction and to enquire whether the Village Panchayets referred to are agreeable to contribute anything towards the maintenance of the Local Fund Dispensaries and whether their finances permit -- this being done. ~~The Municipal Councils~~ concerned may ~~also~~ be asked ~~if they~~ whether they are agreeable ~~now to~~ this cannot now pay one-third of the cost of the maintenance of the Dispensaries ~~and~~ in this matter their resolution may be kindly sent to Govt. at an early date.

As regards the Dispensaries in this Taluk area Concerned Municipalities attention is invited to Section 54(2) of the Local Boards Act which requires Municipalities to maintain Municipal hospitals & dispensaries in their areas & they

I have etc.,

DRAFT) 5-2-30.

Genl: Secry to Govt.

4-2-30

K.M.R.I.
3/2.

7/2/30

L.B. 109-29-5

No. A3-2157-29-30

Enc. ... two only

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated, Tumkur, the....4th August.....1930.

GEN. 758
12 AUG. 1930
L. & C. BRAN.

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
.....
Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
General Department.

7/8/30

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L. 5985/ 109-29-4 dated 11-2-1930, forwarding copy of letter No.G.C. 249/29-30 dated 29th October 1929, from the President, District Board, Tumkur, re: payment of contribution towards the maintenance of Local Fund - Dispensaries by the marginally* noted local bodies within whose jurisdiction such dispensaries are situate and enquiring whether the local bodies cannot now pay 1/3rd of the cost of the maintenance, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the resolution of Korategere Municipality and Huliyar Village Panchayet pleading their inability to contribute towards the maintenance charges and to state that the other local bodies referred to in your letter have been reminded to send up their resolutions within a week. They will be sent up - immediately after receipt.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
(Absent from the Station).

Minor Municipalities.

✓1. Pavagada.
✓2. Korategere.
✓3. Turuvekere.

Village Panchayets.

✓1. Huliyar.
× Huliyurdurga.
× 2. Nonavinkere.

AD.4/8

This is an acknowledgement reply. Final reply will be awaited, if any.

18/8/30

Please issue a reminder re: information from the other municipalities. 20.8.30

ಕಾ ದಿ. ೨೨. ೧೯೨೦ ನೇ ಇ. ಸಾಲಿನ ಸಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ೨೨ನೇ
ತಾರೀಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೩ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಸಭೆಯು
ಸೇರಿತ್ತು.

Present :- L. Muniswamy. Esquire
M.A.
Amildar President.
Kolar Municipality.

× × × ×

Subject :- ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆವರಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳ ಕಾ.ಪ್ರ.
ಅನ್ವಯ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾಲಂ ಅ
ಯಿಂದ $\frac{1}{3}$ ಭಾಗದ maintenance
ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಮುನಿ
ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ, ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
ನ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ವಿಷಯ
ಸಾಲಿನ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಪುಟ $\frac{2}{28}$ ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ವಿಷಯ.

Resolution. ಇದು ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇರುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳ ಮತ್ತು
ಅವುಗಳ ಮುಂದಿನ ಬೀದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್
ಕಾಲಂ ಅ ನ ಕಾ.ಪ್ರ. ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ maintenance
ಮಾಡುವ $\frac{1}{3}$ ಭಾಗದ ಖರ್ಚಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಕೇಳಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯವಾಯಿತು.

× × ×

Sd/- L. Muniswami
President

Extract
L. Munisswamy
President
Kolar Council

1930 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]
2 " [illegible]
3 " [illegible]
4 " [illegible]
5 " [illegible]
6 " [illegible]
7 " [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]
2 " [illegible]
3 " [illegible]
4 " [illegible]
5 " [illegible]

(4) [illegible]

[illegible]

322 VSR

L.B 109-29-6

No. Agri. 2157 -29-30
Enc. two only

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the 29th October *193*0.

L 2231

GEN. & REVENUE SECTT.
- 1 NOV. 19
L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
....General.....*Department,*
Bangalore.

RR 31/10/30
VSR
AD 31/10/30

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.A.3. 2157/29-30 dated 4th August 1930 forwarding the resolutions of the Municipal Council of Korategere and that of the Village Panchayet at Huliyar pleading their inability to contribute 1/3 of the cost of maintaining the dispensaries located therein, I have the honor to forward herewith an extract from the resolutions of the Municipal Councils of Pavagada and Turuvekere similarly pleading their inability to contribute their quota for the maintenance of the dispensaries located therein as their resources are very slender.

As regards the cost of maintenance of the other two dispensaries Viz. Huliyurdurga and Nonavinkere, the Amildars of Kunigal and Tiptur Taluks, have again been reminded for the submission of the resolutions of the respective Panchayets. They will be forwarded soon after their receipt.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
(Absent from the station).

AD. 29/10.

కార్యవర్గ 4.3.19 తారీఖు సమావేశానికి హాజరైన సభ్యుల పేర్లు:—

No. of members present = 10

Absent = 5

" "

× × × × ×

18) మిక్సర్ maintenance ఖర్చులో 1/3 వంతు కంపెనీ ఇవ్వాలని నిర్ణయించడమైనది. ఈ నిర్ణయం 1.4.19 నుండి అమలులోకి వస్తుంది. ఈ నిర్ణయాన్ని సంబంధిత కంపెనీలకు తెలియజేయడమైనది. ఈ నిర్ణయం అమలుకు సంబంధించి అవసరమైన ఉత్తర్వులను జారీ చేయవలసిందిగా కార్యవర్గం అధ్యక్షులను కోరుతూ తీర్మానించడమైనది.

× × × × ×

(Sd). B. Krishnarao
President
4.3.19

"Extract"

Secretary
for President

3.10.19

1930 సం॥ అంతకు ముందు పుట్టిన మగ పిల్లలకు 30 సం॥ల వరకు
ఫీజు లేకుండా చదివించిన తరువాత తీసివేయడం వల్ల కలిగే
నష్టం (నష్టం)

పిల్లలు	ఖర్చు	కొత్త ఖర్చు
x x x	x x	x x
15	— 8	— 6
x x x	x x	x x

Subject

V ఆదాయ పన్ను శిక్షణ విధానం ప్రకారం ఆదాయ పన్ను 1/3 వ్యవసాయ పన్ను కు॥ది॥ం॥ నాటికి ఆదాయ పన్ను Rs 21 57/29/30 లైసెన్సుకు కట్టవలసి ఉన్నది. ఆదాయ పన్ను విధానం ప్రకారం ఆదాయ పన్ను వసూలు చేయడం వల్ల minor పిల్లలకు తండ్రులు, ఆదాయపన్ను శిక్షణ కాలం, దానివల్ల వీలైనంతవరకు మీద ఆధారపడిన వారందరికీ ఇవ్వడం కష్టసాధ్యమవుతుంది. దయచేసి ఈ విషయాన్ని పరిశీలించి ఆదాయ పన్ను వసూలు చేయడం వల్ల కలిగే నష్టాలను తొలగించవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాము.

x x x x x x x

Sd/- G. A. Narayanadetty
President

Sd/ Sri B. K. Subba Rao
" " Settara T. V. Mallaiah
" " [illegible]
" " [illegible]
" " [illegible]
" " [illegible]
" " [illegible]
" " [illegible]

"Extract"

G. A. Narayanadetty
President
Mel council
Nuvekere.
3/10/30

LB 109-29-7

No. D.C. No. A3-C 2157-29-30 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Enc. .....

Dated Tumkur, the 17th November 1930.

L 2538

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

19.11.30

To

. R. Ranga Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
GENERAL .....*Department,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.A3.C.2157/29-30 dated the 27th October 30 in the matter of the contributions payable by Municipalities and Village Panchayets towards the cost of maintaining Local Fund Dispensaries located within their jurisdictions, I have the honour to state that the Amildars of Tiptur and Kunigal report that the finances of the Panchayets of Nonvinkere and Huliyurdurga do not permit of any such contributions being made towards the maintenance of the dispensaries located within their jurisdiction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
(Absent from station)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section L3

File No. 109-29

Serial No. 8

No. L.5L104

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To The D.C. Zumbeca

Date of issue 2-1-31

Sir.

Signed by W.N.S. Grant

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. Dis. A.D.C. 2157-29 Dy (2) dt. 11.30 about the contribution payable by the Paragana Kharangere & Zummeke Mutts towards the cost of the maintenance of L.F. Dispensaries located at the places

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 29/1/31

Examined by.....

[over

I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward (copies of the Budget estimates (of the three months ended 30th June 1931) for 1930-1931

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

22.12.30

L.72. A.C. 74/30-31

L/12 109-29-9

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

Dated, Tumkur, the 16th May 1931

443

L5665 REV. SECTT 1 JUNE 19'1

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER No ... MAY 18 1931 TUMKUR DISTRICT.

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Through 30.5.31

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

B57
Secl

Sir,

249 dt 6-5-30
8-30
249/29-30 dt 27-10-30

I have the honour to invite kind reference to this office letter No. G. C. 249/29-30 and the subsequent reminders noted in the margin, regarding the payment of contribution by the Municipalities of Davanagere-Uratagere and Turuvekere and the Village Panchayets of Huliyurdurga, Huliyar and Honavinakere towards the maintenance charges of the dispensaries located in them and to state that no orders have yet been passed in the matter. I beg to state that in the present financial condition of the District Board, it is not possible to continue to pay from out of their funds the quota of the Municipalities and the Village Panchayets referred to above. I request therefore that Government may kindly be pleased to pass early orders in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

K. Narayana Rao
For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

L 6490
25-5-31

GL 9972/30-31

Forwarded with compliments.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur

18/5/31

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section LB

File No. 109 - 29

Serial No. 10

No. L 9986

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To

The Basnd. D.B. Jumkun
thro D.C.

Date of issue 17/6/1931

Signed by M. V. S. Soysa

Sir,

with refce to Correspce
ending with your letter no LE2
Ac 74/30-31 dd 16:5:31 re payment
ties
of Contributions by the rate
of Pavagada, Kortagere & Thi
rekere & the V.P.S of Huliyur
durga, Huliyar & Nonavina-
kere towards the LE dispen-
saries at those places, I
am directed to state that
the matter is under consi-
deration.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your obedient servant
V. S. Soysa
9.6.31
for Govt Agent
Mtale
P. V. O.

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

Memo no 9987 D. 17/6/1931

Copy with Compts to D.C. Lenakan in Continuation of this office letter no 5404/ 2B109-29 D. 2.7.31 with the request that copies of the revised Budget Estimates of the receipts referred to for 1930-31 may be sent us without further delay as the question is pending for a long time

B.O.

9.6.31

for Exec Engr

L.B.109-29-11

No. L.7.2 AG 74/36-31

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

Dated, Tumkur, the 12th January 193.

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.



5665

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.



3049

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite kind reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. L. [illegible]/L.B. 109-29-11 dated 17-1-11 regarding the payment of contribution by the Municipalities of Pavagada, Kortagere and Turuvekere and the village panchayats of Huliyurdurga, Huliyar and Konvadere towards the maintenance charges of the dispensaries located in them and to state that no orders have yet been passed in the matter. I request therefore that Government may kindly be moved to pass early orders in the matter, so that the amount of contribution recoverable from them may be taken into account in framing the current year's budget.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant
K. Narayana Setty
for President, Dist: Board
Tumkur District.

L.B.

Xdis. A.B. C 901 31.12

Forwarded with Compliments.

N. Iyya
D.C.
21/1/32

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No.L.5507/28 109-29-12 Dated, Bangalore, 6/2/32

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The President,
District Board, Tumkur.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.F.2-A.C.74/30-31 dated 12th January 1932 requesting early orders about the payment by certain Municipalities and Village Panchayets of their quota towards the maintenance charges of local Fund dispensaries located in them, I am directed to state that the matter is still under consideration and that orders will issue as soon as some information which has been called for from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur is received.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Govt.,

TD

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section L.B.

File No. 109-29

Serial No. 12

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 5507

To

The President Dt. Board,

Tumkur.

Thro D.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no: L 7/2 A.C. 74/30-31 dated 12-1-32, requesting early orders being passed about the payment by certain Municipalities & Village Sanitary Boards of their quota of charges towards the maintenance charges of local fund dispensaries located in them, I am directed to state, that the matter is still under consideration and that orders will issue as soon as the same information which has been called for from the Dt. Tumkur is received.

Date of issue 6-2-32

Signed by for A.S. Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 6/2/32

Examined by .....

I have etc.

for Secy to Govt.

5508 976—8/32—Ld 307,

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

Copy to the D.C. Tumkur in continuation of this office D.O. letter no: L.5249 of 28/1/32. Bio.

D. C. 41 **IMMEDIATE.**

LB 109-29-15

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

No. C.Per.Y. 35/31-32 *Dated Tumkur,* 24th June 1932

Sm Estimates for 1930-31 & 1931-32 adv.

FROM

H.V. Ramasvami, Esquire, M.A.,B.L.,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Tumkur District.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 45/32 21 JULY 1932 S. G. BRANCH

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department,*
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 29 JUNE 1932 No. L.S.S.

SIR,

Subject:- Re: Budget Estimates of the Pavagada, Turuvekere and Korategere Municipalities for 30-31, 31-32 and 1932-1933.

With reference to your letter No. D.O. L. 8343/L.B dated 16/17th June 1932 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copies of the sanctioned Budget Estimates for 1930-31 and 1931-32 with this office review thereon, in respect of Pavagada, Korategere and Turuvekere Municipalities.

The estimates for 1932-1933 are being reviewed and the same will be forwarded shortly.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H V Ramasvami

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 24/6

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

No.....

Enc.....

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the.....27.th.June..... *193* 2

Official Memorandum.

Correspondence ending with No.H.C.79/30-31 dated 19-3-31 from the President, Pavagada Minor Municipal Council re. the budget for 31-32.

Receipts:- The Council have expected an income of Rs.4718/- as against Rs.4479/- and Rs.4727/- in the sanctioned and revised budgets respectively of the previous year. Under A Municipal Rates and Taxes, they anticipate an income of Rs.3400/- as against Rs.3165/- in the sanctioned budget for 30-31 expecting recovery of previous year's arrears under taxes on buildings and lands, and the levy of professional tax. Pending sanction to the levy of this tax, the provision of Rs.100/- under this head is deleted.

2. The council have also anticipated realisation of Rs.500/ by the sale of sites, in the extension and a grant of Rs.500/- from Government. Pending allotment to besanctioned by Government the provision under Government Grant is reduced to Rs.3000/-. The other items of income proposed by the council, are allowed to stand.

EXPENDITURE

3. The council have made provisions for a total expenditur of Rs.3801/- during the year 31-32 as against Rs.4515/- and Rs.6010/- respectively as per sanctioned and revised estimates for 30-31. The heavy expenditure during the previous year was mainly due to the bore-well sunk by the Municipality. No provision has been made by the council for the pump etc., required for the bore-well. Only a sum of Rs.750/- has been provided for works carried out by the civil department, as against the sum of Rs.825/- required for the works, shown in the statement F. This provision is therefore increased to Rs.800/-. But the expenditure under this head will have to be incurred only, after ascertaining the commitments of the Municipality, with regard to bore-well and the funds required therefor. The provision under debt head is also raised from Rs.45/- to Rs.150/-. All the other items of expenditure are as in the previous year's budget and they are allowed to stand.

4. With these modifications the income and expenditure of the Municipality will be as follows:-

Opening Balance			Rs.368/-
Receipts			
Normal		Rs.3568/-	
Special			
Sale of sites	Rs.500/-		
Govt.. Grant	300/-		
Deposits	150/-	= 850/-	
		Total Income	4418/-
		Total Rs.	4786/-
Expenditure			
Normal		Rs.3006/-	
Special			
Original works	800/-		
Deposits	150/-		
Total		Rs. 950/-	
		Total Expenditure	3956/-
		Closing Balance	830/-

5. Though the normal income of the Municipality is more than the normal expenditure, the finances of the municipality require

No.....

Enc.....

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the....27th June..... 1932

Official Memorandum.

Reference:- Korategere Municipal President's No. 176/30-31 dated 5-6-1931 submitting for sanction the budget estimates for 1931-32.

--00--

The council estimate their income and expenditure at Rs. 4285 and 3786 respectively as against Rs. 3890 and Rs. 3480 in the sanctioned estimates for the previous year. They have adopted Rs. 3386, the closing balance in the sanctiined budget for 30-31 as the opening balance for this budget. This is wrong. The actual balance on 1-7-30 and the difference between total income and expenditure as per revised estimates for 30-31 should be taken into account to get the estimated opening balance for 1931-32. This works out to be Rs. 2211/-.

Receipts:-

2. The estimates under the several heads of income for the year 1930-31 have been adopted for 31-32 also though the actuals for 1929-30 and the revised estimate for 30-31 are much less, without explaining the reasons for the anticipated increase. Statement No."D" intended for facilitating scrutiny of the estimates under several items of permanent sources of income is incomplete. The following modifications are therefore made in the estimates on the basis of the actuals for 28-29 and 29-30 and the revised estimates for 30-31.

Items	Estimates made by the Council	Modified estimates.
A1. Octroi	Rs. 1350	Rs.1100
2. Taxes on vehicles & animals	140	110
3. Taxes on buildings and lands	1500	1350
4. Taxes on professions, trades	350	230
Total A	Rs. 3340	Rs. 2790

3. Under C 2 A sale of lands, they have expected an income of Rs.500. This is explained as due to the sale of sites newly formed. This item is allowed to stand. They also expect a sum of Rs. 120/- as interest

but the details of investments

interest on investments are not available as the Municipality has failed to submit the assets and liabilities statement. This provision is however, retained.

4. Under D 1, the council expect a grant of Rs. 400/- from Govt: while only a sum of Rs. 200/- was granted last year. This item is therefore reduced to Rs. 200/-

Expendture:-

5. As there has been a fall in the income of the Municipality and funds have to be provided for to meet the contribution towards the local Dispensary soon after the Govt: orders are received in the matter, the following modifications are made in the several items of expenditure under "A" General Administration:-

Items		Provisions made by the council.	Modified Provisions
A2. Municipal office.			
(b)	Travelling allowance	Rs. 25/-	Rs. 10/-
(c)	Contingencies	30/-	
(d)	Furniture	25/-	
(e)	Stationery	20/-	Rs. 50/-
(f)	Miscellaneous	15/-	
Octroi	Rewards	Rs. 25/-	
	Contingencies	12/-	Rs 10/-

6. Under B 2. C. Lighting ~~contract~~, the council have made a provision of Rs. 350/- inclusiv of an allotment of Rs. 100 for having patromax lights. The averge cost per year on account of ordinary lights works out to Rs. 50/-. Providing Rs. 100/- for patromax lights the total cost can be limited to Rs. 250/-. This provision also is accordinly modified.

7. A sum of Rs. 500/- is provided by the Council under "D" Public works carried out by Civil Department. But statement "F" does not contain the details works to be undertaken. This statement shows that the council is not getting proper estimates prepared before hand, and is spending more money than the estimated cost. The allotment under this head should not therefore be utilised for any work without furnishing details to this office and obtaining sanction whenever necessary.

8. The Council have made a provision of Rs.205/- under "E" (b) Road watering and clearing. There is only one main road in the town and that it is being maintained by the Public Works Department. Pending submission of a clear explanation in the matter, this provision is deleted.

9. Under "E" C. other charges, the council want a sum of Rs. 75/- for purchasing dust bins. Only a sum of Rs. 35/- may be spent during the current year.

10. The other items of expenditure are allowed to stand. But the Council should observe strict economy in expenditure on account of its poor financial condition.

11. With the modifications referred to above, the budget for 1931-32 stands as follows:-

Opening Balance.		..	Rs. 2211
Receipts			
Normal		2955	
Special			
Sale of lands	Rs. 500		
Interest on investments	120		
Govt: grant	200		
Total income		820	
			3775
		Total	5986
Expenditure:-			
Normal		Rs. 3009	
Special			
(Original works		350	
Total Expenditure		..	3359
Closing Balance			2627/-

12. Though the total expenditure, is less than the total income, the normal income of the Municipality, is not sufficient to meet the normal expenditure. The council should take immediate steps, to improve the finances of the Municipality. There are heavy arrears of mohatarfa and other taxes. This should be reduced. The President and Vice President should pay special attention to the collection work.

Sd.H.V. Ramasvami,
Deputy Commissioner.

C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

D. 27/6

No.....

Enc.....

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the.....29th June.....*1932*.

Official Memorandum.

Correspondence ending with No. 267/30-31 dated 10-6-1931 from the President, Turuvekere Minor Municipal Council, submitting the Budget Estimates for 1931-32.

--00--

The Municipal Council have framed a budget showing an income of Rs. 7100/- and an expenditure of Rs. 7630/- during the year as against Rs. 5040 and 6737/- respectively in the sanctioned budget for the previous year. They have not submitted the assets and liabilities statement to show clearly their financial position. As the income under interest on investments is estimated by them at Rs. 550/- it has to be inferred that they should have not less than 13,000/- in the Savings Bank and other valuable securities.

2. The estimates also have not been prepared on proper basis. The revised estimate for 30-31 is prepared without taking into consideration the actuals for the year, upto the date of preparing the budget for 31-32. Even the actuals under income for 1929-30 do not tally with the figures given in statement "D".

3. The opening balance of Rs. 2117/- shown in the budget for 1931-32 is also wrong. The actual balance on 1-7-1930 is said to be Rs. 2138/-. Taking the income and expenditure during 1930-31 at Rs. 5290/- and Rs. 6398/-, respectively, as shown in the revised estimate for the year, the opening balance for 31-32 works out, to be Rs. 1030/-

Receipts:-

The receipts estimated under "A" Municipal rates and taxes are not properly based on the actuals of the previous years. Statement "D" which would have facilitated scrutiny of these estimates is incomplete. The estimates made by the council under this group of headings are modified to the extent noted below on the basis of actuals for the years 1928-29, 29-30 and 30-31 furnished in this budget and the one for the previous year.

PTO

Item.	Provision made by the council.	Modified provision.
A1. Octroi	Rs. 3000	Rs. 3500
2. Taxes on buildings & lands	900	975
3. " vehicles & animals	120	125
4. " professions & trades	250	200
Total	4,270	4890

5. Under "D" grants and contributions- The council have anticipated a grant of Rs. 500 from the Govt: though no such grant was received by them during the previous year. This provision is therefore - - deleted.

6. The estimates of income under the remaining heads are approved.

Expenditure:-

7. The following modifications are made in the provisions under 'A' General Administration.

Item.	Provision made by the Council.	Modified provision.
A I. Municipal Office.		
a) Salary of establishment Permanent	Rs300	Rs. 420
Temporary	50	Deleted.
b) Travelling allowance	30	20
c) Contingencies	24	
e) Stationery	25	
f) Miscellaneous:-		
Purchase of books	Re.1	
Book binding charges	1	
Postage charges	2	Rs. 60/-
Telegram "	2	
Printing charges	40	
Advertisement charges	5	
Belts and badges	14	
d) Furniture.	30	Rs. 25/-
II. 1 Octroi (a) establishment Temporary	100	Deleted.
4 Refunds	64	Rs.25/-

4) 8. Under "B" Public Safety:-

2 Lighting charges, the council have made a provision of Rs.1070 (Rs. 70 for the purchase of lamps and Rs. 1000 for lighting by - contract without explaining in detail the reasons for such a heavy cost. This is a small town. The costs incurred by the Municipality for the purchase of lamps during 1928-29 and 1929-30 are Rs. 138/- and Rs. 100/- res/ectively. The revised estimate for 1930-31 under

B.F. Rs. 7,660/-

3. Expenditure

Normal Rs. 3,788

Special

1. Original works	Rs.	1000/-
2. Compensation		200/-
3. Refunds of deposits		60/-
Total		1260/-

Total Expenditure 5048/-
Closing balance 2,612/-

The budget is thus, a surplus budget, and not a deficit budget, as anticipated by the council. They have sufficient, surplus funds to take up town improvement works. It is hoped, that the council will obtain sanction, for fresh works, and effect improvements to the town. The President should see, to the proper prepartion of budget in future.

Sd. H.V. Ramasvami,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

" COPY "

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 28/6

under the same heading shows Rs. 70/-. Under the circumstances the provision for the purchase of lamps is deleted for want of details. With regard to the provision for lighting by contract, the accounts for 1828-29, shows an expenditure of Rs. 468/- only. The expenditure of Rs. 800/- for the same purpose, during 1929-30, and the necessity for a provision of Rs. 1000/- during 31-32 have not been clearly - explained. The expenditure under lighting charges during 1929-30 in a much bigger town like Gubbi was only Rs. 669/-. The provision of Rs. 1000/- made by the council is therefore reduced to Rs. 650/-.

9. A sum of Rs. 2500/- is provided by the council under "D" public works carried out by Civil Department, Rs. 2000/- for original works Rs. 300/- for repairs and Rs. 200/- for compensation but the details of the works have not been furnished in the statement "F". For want of details, the provision for original works is reduced to Rs. 1000/- on the understanding that this amount will be utilised only for the incomplete works of the previous years, if any, and for the works, the estimates for which have been submitted to this office for - - sanction. The other two provisions are retained.

10. The council have also provided a sum of Rs. 1580/- under "E" 2. Conservancy and Sanitation.

(a) Establishment:- But from the details furnished in the statement "E", the cost works out to be Rs. 1092/-. The provision is accordingly reduced.

11. Under I Public Debt, a sum of Rs. 730/- has been provided. Only a sum of Rs. 60/- seems to be needed under 2 Deposits. This is also modified accordingly.

12. With the modifications noted above the budget estimates for 31-32 will be as follows:-

1. Opening Balance				1030/-
2. Receipts				
Normal		Rs. 5890		
Special				
1. Sale of lands	Rs.30/-			
2. ~~Entertainments~~ Interest on investments	650/-			
3. Deposits	60/-		Total income	6,630/-
Total	740/-			7,660

Immediate
No.C.Per.Y.35/31-32

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, the 20th July 1932.

Review on the Budget Estimate of Pavagada Minor Municipality for 1932-33.

====

The council expect an income of Rs.5096/- against Rs.4786/- in the sanctioned budget for 1931-32.

RECEIPTS:- An increase of income of Rs.100/- under tax on buildings and lands is expected in the coming year on account of the revision of house taxes. The provision is retained with the remark that the proposed revision should come into effect at once.

The provision of Rs.600/- under 'Market Fees' is deleted as the levy has not yet been sanctioned by Government.

An income of Rs.100/- against Rs.15/- in the sanctioned budget for 31-32 is expected under 'SALE OF AMARA' i.e. sale of tamarind in the tope in possession of the Municipality. The provision is retained with the direction that the sale should be held at proper time.

A grant of Rs.500/- under Govt., grants is deleted, pending sanction of Government to the grant under Town Improvement Works for 1932-33. An income of Rs.80/- is expected under education cess during the year 1932-33. This appears to be a fresh source of income as the same was not provided in the sanctioned budget for the current year. Pending clear explanation as to when and under what authority the levy has been made, the provision of Rs.80/- is deleted.

The other items of receipts call for no remarks and are allowed to stand.

EXPENDITURE:- A provision of Rs.144/- is made for the post of a Bill Collector. As this is a sanctioned post, the provision is retained.

The provision of Rs.50/- for furniture is reduced to Rs.25/- as no reason has been stated for the increased expenditure.

The council wish to purchase two petrorax lights during the year and require Rs.160/- for this purpose. The provision is retained as this appears to be a necessity. The provision of Rs.620/- under lighting by contract is reduced to Rs.550/- as the amount proposed appears to be very high.

As no reasons are stated for the increase of expenditure under 'D' Public Works, the provision is reduced to Rs.800/- both for original works and repairs.

The provision of Rs.120/- made for cart-hire for six months is deleted as this is a fresh item of expenditure which was not sanctioned in the budget for 31-32 and no reasons for this expenditure have been clearly stated.

The provision of Rs.150/- on account of labour for working the Hand Rotary Pump of the bore-well is retained as this appears to be a necessity. The council will try to minimise this expenditure as far as possible.

The provision made under 'FAIRS & FESTIVALS' is reduced to Rs.10/- only as no reasons are given for the increase in expenditure.

An increased contribution of Rs.26/- towards Reading Room and Libraries is retained with the remark that the payment of the lighting charges to the reading room should be discontinued.

The provision of Rs.80/- under Public Debt towards Education Cess is deleted pending clear explanation for this item of expenditure.

The other items are allowed to stand as they call for no remarks.

With these modifications, the Budget Estimates for 1932-33 will be as follows:-

OPENING BALANCE		928/-
RECEIPTS:- Normal:-		
a) Municipal rates & taxes		3300/-
b) Realisations under special acts		91/-
c) Revenue derived from Municipal Property		410/-
Total		3801/-
Special:-		
Public Debt Deposits		50/-
Total Receipts		3851/-
plus		928/-
Grand Total		4779/-
EXPENDITURE:- Normal		
a) General Administration		605/-
Octroi ~~Funds~~ refunds		20/-
Pensions & gratuities		96/-
Public Safety	735 plus 5/- =	740/-
Public Health & Convenience		1594/-
Public Instruction		80/-
Contributions		50/-
Miscellaneous		60/-
T o t a l		3245/-
Special:-		
Public Works		845/-
Public Debt.		50/- = 895/-
Total expenditure		4140/-
Closing Balance		639/-

Though the normal expenditure is within the normal receipts, the closing balance falls below 25% as per Rule 20, M.M. The finances of the Municipality require improvement in order to meet the expenditure required for Town Improvement Works and for supply of water through the bore-well and the Municipality must find out ways and means for improving the same.

H. C. Ramaswamy,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
30/7/32

Review on the Budget Estimates of Korategere Minor Municipality for 1932-33.

The Council expect an income and expenditure of Rs. 4,625 and Rs. 3,805 against Rs. 3,775 and Rs. 3359 respectively in the - sanctioned budget for the year 1931-32.

Receipts:- An income of Rs. 3,300 against Rs. 2,790 in the sanctioned budget for 31-32 is expected under "A" Municipal rates and taxes". The increase of income is explained to be due to the revision of taxes to be effected during the year.

The reason for the increase of income of Rs. 200/- under Octroi receipts have not been stated by the Council. The receipts under this head " Municipal Rates and Taxes " are decreasing from year to year as may be seen from the revised estimate for 31-32 (Rs. 1,672-15-6, which is nearly half the estimate for 1932-1933) and the accounts for 1930-31 (Rs. 2,119-0-0). Even assuming that revision of taxes will come into effect immediately, the indome expected is excessive. Hence it is reduced as follows with the observation that prompt action should be taken to bring the revision of taxes into effect.

	Estimate for 32-33	Sanctioned estimate for 31-32	Revised estimate for 31-32	Modified estimate.
1. Octroi	1300-0-0	1100-0-0	757-13-2	900-0-0
2. Taxes on buildings & lands	1500-0-0	1350-0-0		1350-0-0
Taxes on vehicles & animals.	150-0-0	110-0-0	915-2-4	110-0-0
Taxes on professions & trades.	350-0-0	230-0-0		230-0-0
	3300-0-0	2790-0-0	1672-15-6	2590-0-0

The provision of Rs. 500/- under sale of lands is retained with the remark that the sites should be disposed of - - immediately.

The reason for the increase of interest of Rs. 40/- over the sanctioned estimate for 1931-32 on investments has not been stated

stated by the Council. So it is reduced to Rs. 120/- only as in direction that there should be no withdrawl from the investments.

The Govt: grant of Rs. 500/- expected from Govt: is deleted pending sanction of Govt: to the allotment under Town Improvement works for 1932-33.

The income under " Miscellaneous " is reduced to Rs. 200/- only as in the last year's budget as no reasons for the increased income are stated.

Expenaiture:-

Under General Administration a provision of Rs. 540/- against Rs. 480/- in the sanctioned budget for 1931-33 is made by council. The finances of the Municipality do not admit of an extra expenditure and so the provision is reduced to Rs. 480/- as in the last year.

Under " Octroi ", the provision of Rs. 150/- is reduced to Rs. 135/- as sanctioned in the last year's budget.

The Council has proposed to appoint a Bill Collector at a cost of Rs. 144/- per annum to facilitate collection of Municipal arrears. Pending sanction to the resolution of the Council, for the entertainment of a Bill Collector, the provision is deleted and the system of payment of commission to the patel and Shanbhogue will continue as at present and for this purpose a provision of Rs. 80/- has been made in the budget.

The provision of Rs. 400/- made for lighting by contract is reduced to Rs. 350/- as the expenditure proposed appears to be too high.

Considering the poor finances of the Municipality and the heavy arrears to be collected, the provision under Public Works is reduced to Rs. 350/- as in the last year

The provision of Rs. 200/- made for road watering and cleaning is deleted as there is only one main road in the town and that the same is being managed by Public Works Department.

The provision of Rs. 50/- under "C", other charges, is also reduced to Rs. 35/-.

The extra expenditure of Rs. 6/- provided for maintenance of public gardens is deleted as no reasons are forthcoming for the proposed increase in expenditure.

With these modifications, the budget for 1932-33 stands as follows:-

Opening Balance ..		Rs. 2627-0-0
Receipts:- Normal		2955-0-0
Special:- Sale of sites		500-0-0
Interest on investments		120-0-0
	Total Rs.	6202-0-0
Expenditure:-		
Normal		2895-0-0
Special:- Original works and repairs		350-0-0
	Total Rs.	3245-0-0
CLOSING BALANCE.	Rs.	2,957-0-0

Though the total expenditure is less than the total income, tne normal receipts of the Municipality are not sufficient to meet the normal expenditure. The amount of arrears of mohatarfa to be recovered on 1-6-1932 is Rs. 2,759-1-4 as per statement furnished by the President on 29-6-32. This is nearly 1½ times the annual jamabandi. The heavy arrears should be reduced early.

H. C. Dammann

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
30/7/32

AD. 29/7

Review on the Budget Estimates of Turuvekere Minor Municipality for 1932-33.

--00--

The Council estimate an income and expenditure of Rs. 7,510/- and Rs. 6,359/- respectively in the sanctioned budget for 31-32.

SEC.

Receipts:-

Under sale of lands an income of Rs. 100/- against Rs. 30/- in the sanctioned budget for the current year is expected by the Council. It is explained that the increased income is likely to be realised from the sale of few Municipal sites for which there is said to be much demand. The provision is retained in view of this observation.

An income of Rs. 1,000/- against Rs. 800/- in the sanctioned budget for 31-32 is expected from the site tax. The provision is retained. An income of Rs. 800/- against Rs. 650/- in the sanctioned budget for 31-32 is expected from " Interest on investments ". As the revised estimate under this head during 31-32 shows an income of Rs. 865/-, the provision is retained with the observation that the amounts from the investments should be withdrawn only with the permission of the Deputy Commissioner.

The Government grant of Rs. 500/- expected under " Government Grants" is deleted pending sanction of Government to the grants under Town Improvement works for 1932-33.

The other items call for no remarks and are allowed to stand.

Expenditure:-

No provision for the pay of a Municipal peon is made under office establishment. If this is an omission, the same may be included in the budget and a provision of Rs. 120/- therefor provided in the budget for 1932-33.

As the purchase of a record-rack for Rs. 40/- appears to be a necessity, the provision is retained.

The Council intend purchasing two patromax and two ordinary lights during the year and for this purpose a provision of Rs. 1100/- against Rs. 650/- in the sanctioned budget for 31-32 is made during

during the year under "Lighting Charges". The provision is reduced to Rs. 800/-, as the expenditure under this head appears to be very high.

A provision of Rs. 1,925/- against Rs. 1,500/- in the sanctioned budget for 1931-32 is made for Public Works. As the increased expenditure is due to the opening of a road and sinking some wells, the provision is retained.

The provision of Rs. 240/- made for the employment of two temporary sweepers for clearing lantana and prickly pear is deleted with the remark that the Council will do well to manage the work with the existing staff.

With these modifications the Budget Estimates for 1932-1933 will be as follows:-

Opening Balance.		2,612-0-0
Receipts:- Normal		5,550-0-0
Special:- Income from sale of lands.		100-0-0
Interest on investments		800-0-0
Deposits		60-0-0
Total		9,122-0-0
Expenditure:-		
Normal		3,979-0-0
Special:- Public works		1,825-0-0
Compensation		100-0-0
Refund of deposits		60-0-0
	Total Rs.	5,964-0-0
Closing Balance		Rs. 3,158-0-0

The normal expenditure is within the normal income. The finances are in a sound condition. The Council is requested to take steps to collect arrears outstanding from a long time.

H. C. Dennehy

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

CS
30/7/32

GEN 2 AUG. 1932 SECTARY
No. 2-83

L.B. 109-29-14

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

No. C.Per.Y. 35/31-32 Dated Tumkur, 29th July 32 xxx 192

Encls: Three budget Estimates with Reviews thereon.

L.B. 109-29-14 REV. SECTT. 569 2 AUG. 1932 R.L.C. BRANCH

FROM

H.V. Ramasvami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Tumkur District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., ~~B.Sc.~~,

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General
.....*Department,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- Re: Budget es. mates of the Pavagada, Turuvekere and Korategere Municipalities for 1932-33.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.Per. Y. 35/31-32 dated 27-6-1932, I have the honor to forwara, herewith copies of the sanctioned budget estimates for 1932-33 with this office review thereon in respect of the Pavagada, Korategere and Turuvekere minor Municipalities.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H.V. Ramasvami
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
29/7/32

AD.29/7

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No
No.

Enclosure No.

GPB—40,000—29-7-14

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section LB
File No. 109-29
Serial No. ~~15~~ 15

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

4 Copies

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 19/10

Signed by ...W.H.S. Rose...

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

No L 2806

To The D.C. Tamluk Dt,

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No Cfty 35/31-2 Dy 29-7-32 regarding the request of the Tamluk D.B.^d that certain village Panchayets in the Tamluk Dt. ~~the~~ the Mouzas of Pavagada, Kodagere & Thimmakere may be asked to Contribute towards the maintenance of the L.F. Dispys located at ~~in their jurisdiction~~ those places, I am directed to state that the Mouzas referred to may be asked to make provision in their budgets from 1932-33 for the usual Contribution towards medical relief

P.T.O

Shave &c

Adm 14/10 for Genl Secy
MB

Memo no 2807/MB dt 19-10-32
forwarded with compts
Copy for info to Mr Braedt, DBd
Tumkur (thro the D.C. Tumkur Dt.) with refce to the correspondence ending with his letter no L-7-C 7
dt 12-1-32 re Regarding contributions from the village panchayats referred to, attention is invited to my no L-6342-B
V-C 4-7-31

dt 16-3-1932

Adm 14/10 for Genl Secy
MB

3/-
D.D.

8/11 15/10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Exha Dated, Bangalore, 19-10-32

No. L 2806
LB 109-29-15

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C.Per 35/31-2 dated 29th July 1932 regarding the request of the Tumkur District Board that certain Village Panchayets in the Tumkur District and the Municipal Councils of Pavagada, Kortagere and Thuruvekere may be asked to contribute towards the maintenance of the Local Fund Dispensaries located at those places, I am directed to state that the Municipal Councils referred to may be asked to make provision in their budgets from 1932-33 for the usual contribution towards medical relief.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubba Rao

for General Secretary to Government.

MB

ACH.4.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore, 4/10/32

No. L 2806
LB 109-29-15

Extra

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C.Per 35/31-2 dated 29th July 1932 regarding the request of the Tumkur District Board that certain Village Panchayets in the Tumkur District and the Municipal Councils of Pavagada, Kortagere and Thuruvekere may be asked to contribute towards the maintenance of the Local Fund Dispensaries located at those places, I am directed to state that the Municipal Councils referred to may be asked to make provision in their budgets from 1932-33 for the usual contribution towards medical relief.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- R. Ranga Rao,

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. L 2807/LB Dated, Bangalore, 17th October 1932.
79

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the President, District Board, Tumkur, for information ~~through the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,~~ with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter No.E.F2.C.74/30-31 dated 12th January 1932, regarding contributions from the Village Panchayets referred to, attention is invited to Government Order No.L.6347-63/V.P. 47-31-3 dated 16th March 1932.

By Order,

A Sudarsana Rao

For General Secretary to Government.

AJH.4.